



## A Recursive Network Architecture

#### Joe Touch USC/ISI



2/7/2011 3:27 PM

Copyright 2009, USC/ISI. All rights reserved.



# What makes an architecture new?

- Shaking the Hourglass (CCW 08)
  - All exchanges are 1 packet
  - Collosograms > RTT\*delay
  - No LANs? (all L2 was pt-pt)
- What defines success?
  - fixing what's 'broken'
  - doing something new/different
  - the Internet / circuits as a degenerate case



#### Motivation

- Desire to support new capabilities
  - Interlayer cooperation, dynamic layer selection, layering created by virtualization
- Desire to support emerging abstractions
  - Overlay layers don't map to 1-7
  - Support for recursive nodes (BARP, LISP, TRILL)
- Desire to coordinate services in diff. places
  - Security, soft-state, pacing, retransmission



## **Observations**

- Networking is groups of interacting parties
  - Groups are heterogeneous
  - All members want to interact
  - Groups can be dynamic (*i.e.*, virtual)
- Need an architecture that supports:
  - Heterogeneity
  - Interaction
  - Virtualization



# Heterogeneity leads to layering

- M different interacting parties need
  - M<sup>2</sup> translators

Oľ

M translators + common format
*i.e.*, a layer



### Interaction leads to forwarding

N parties need N<sup>2</sup> circuits Or O(N) links + forwarding



### Virtualization leads to recursion

- N parties want to group in arbitrary, dynamic ways.
  - ... such groups are inherently virtual

... and virtualization is inherently recursive



# Recursion also supports layering and forwarding

- Layering (left)
  - Heterogeneity via O(N) translators
  - Supported by successive recursive discovery
- Forwarding (right)
  - N<sup>2</sup> connectivity via O(N) links
  - Supported by successive iterative discovery







# What makes this an architecture?

- General template (metaprotocol + MDCM)
  - Instantiates as different layers or forwarding
- Abstraction for virtualization
  - Tunnel as link
  - Partitioned router as virtual router
  - Partitioned host + internal router as virtual host
- Abstraction for recursion
  - Recursive router implemented as a network of vrouters with vhosts at the router interfaces



# What does RNA enable?

- Integrate current architecture
  - 'stack' (IP, TCP) vs. 'glue' (ARP, DNS)
- Support needed improvements
  - Recursion (AS-level LISP, L3 BARP, L2 TRILL)
  - Revisitation
- Supports "old horses" natively
  - Dynamic 'dual-stack' (or more)



#### **Recursive Internet Architecture**



L2 = Rbridges/TRILL



# **RNA Metaprotocol**

#### Template of basic protocol service:

- Establish / refresh state
- Encrypt / decrypt message
- Apply filtering
- Pace output via flow control
- Pace input to allow reordering
- Multiplex/demultiplex
  - includes switching/forwarding





#### Structured template w/plug-in functions

- Layer address translate/resolution
  - ARP, IP forwarding lookup
  - BARP/LISP/TRILL lookup
- Layer alternates selection
  - IPv4/IPv6, TCP/SCTP/DCCP/UDP
- Iterative forwarding
  - IP hop-by-hop, DNS recursive queries





# **Related Work**

- Recursion in networking
  - X-Bone/Virtual Nets, Spawning Nets, TRILL, Network IPC, LISP
  - RNA natively includes resolution and discovery
- Protocol environments
  - Modular systems: Click, x-Kernel, Netgraph, Flexible Stacks
  - Template models: RBA, MDCM
  - *RNA adds a constrained template with structured services*
- Context-sensitive components
  - PEPs, Shims, intermediate overlay layers, etc.
  - RNA incorporates this into the stack directly
- Configurable über-protocols
  - XTP, TP++, SCTP
  - RNA makes every layer configurable, but keeps multiple layers.



## Conclusions

- Virtualization requires recursion
- Recursion supports layering
- Recursion supports forwarding

#### One recurrence to bind them all...

Recursion is a native network property

 Integrates and virtualization, forwarding and layering in a single mechanism











# **Internet Architecture**

#### Accused of ossification, but:

- Ossification = stability
- Flexibility is abundant:
  - Shim layers:
    - HIP, SHIM6, IPsec, TLS
  - Muxing layers:
    - SCTP, RDDP, BEEP
  - Connections:
    - MPLS, GRE, IKE, BEEP, SCTP
  - Virtualization:
    - L2VPN, L3VPN/X-Bone/RON/Detour, L7-DHTs



# **Net Arch - Assumptions**

#### Internet-Compliant Architecture

- Hosts add/delete headers
- Routers transit (constant # headers)
- Supports New Capabilities
  - Concurrence (multiprocessing)
  - Revisitation (multiple roles in one net)
  - Recursion (to hide topology and/or mgt.)



## **Virtual Networks**

#### Internet-like

- Internet = routers + hosts + links
- VIs = VRs + VHs + tunnels
- Full architecture (vs. VPNs, PP-VPNs, etc.)

#### - All-Virtual

- Supports VNs on VNs
- "Reality" is undecidable
- Recursion-as-router
  - Some of VRs are VI networks
- See Globecom 1998 (running code 2000)
  - 15 layers deep, 800 wide, app. deploy, P2P integration



# **Recursion requires new layers – where? Why?**

 Wedge between (IPsec, left) or replicate (virtualization, right)





## RNA Stack (2006)

- One MP, many instances
  - Needed layers, with needed services
  - Layers limit scope, enable context sensitivity
  - Scope defined by reach, layer above, layer below



2/7/2011 3:27 PM



# **Click Implementation**

