



Recursion and the Transport Tussle

Joe Touch Postel Center Director USC/ISI Research Assoc. Prof. USC CS and EE/Systems Depts.





- Internet stack isn't forked (Ford)
- Flows separate from interfaces (Kalim)
- Networking is recursive (Touch, Day/Matta)

 Conclusion: *info. that is missing or merged right now should be added at every layer*



- Layers of a stack becoming more similar
 - Security, soft-state, pacing, retransmission
- Desire to support new capabilities
 - Interlayer cooperation, dynamic layer selection
- Desire to support emerging abstractions
 - Overlay layers don't map to 1-7
 - Support for recursive nodes (BARP, LISP, TRILL)

Is layering more than a coding artifact?



Recursive Network Architecture

- "Resolve" unifies:
 - Layer address translate/resolution
 - ARP, IP forwarding lookup
 - BARP/LISP/TRILL lookup
 - Layer alternates selection
 - IPv4/IPv6, TCP/SCTP/DCCP/UDP
 - Iterative forwarding
 - IP hop-by-hop, DNS recursive queries
- Process data" unifies:
 - Shared state, security, management
 - Flow control, error control

LAYER(DATA, SRC, DST) Process DATA, SRC, DST into MSG WHILE (Here <> DST) IF (exists(lower layer)) Select a lower layer Resolve SRC/DST to next layer S',D' LAYER(MSG, S', D') ELSE FAIL /* can't find destination */ ENDIF ENDWHILE /* message arrives here */ RETURN {up the current stack}

> Next Layer Resolution



Next-hop Resolution



RNA Stack

- One MP, many instances all LATE BOUND
 - Unifies routing, forwarding
 - Unifies connections, provisioning
 - Unifies name resolution (Google, DNS, ARP, etc.)



2/7/2011 3:46 PM



A picture is worth...

- Two kinds of layer info:
 - THRU: Edge/path-relevant
 - More than ID
 - Also state start/end
 - TO: End-relevant
 - Flow/cong., etc.
- Also unify the layers
 - At least green boxes ;-)





Implications

- Allow network to see flow, flow state
 - Not for correctness; only for performance
 - Only endpoints NEED this info*
- A service is an ENTIRE stack
 - No such thing as "transport indep." apps
 - Need full stack agreement (svc discovery)
- Legacy implications?
 - No new answers here



*What's an endpoint?

- Difference between HW/SW?
 - HW = that which can be kicked
 - SW = everything else
- End vs. middle?
 - End = that which *I* can kick
 - Middle = everything else (to me, at least)



NAT Implications

- To the public side, it IS the endpoint
 MUST avoid IP ID reuse, obey TIME-WAIT...
- To the private side, it is a router (mostly)
 - SHOULD decrement the TTL, manage ICMPs
- Implications: protocols that modify the endpoint will need to modify NATs
 - Except if you encaps., but that's M.A.D.